

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,813	10/30/2003	Donald H. Osterberg JR.	88325-761206 (067000US)	2479	
51206 Kilpatrick Tov	7590 07/27/201 vnsend & Stockton LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Two Embarcadero Center			WHIPPLE	WHIPPLE, BRIAN P	
8th Floor San Francisco.	CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2448		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/27/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. | Applicant(s) | Office Action Summary | 10/698,813 | OSTERBERG, DONALD H. | Examiner | Art Unit | 2448 | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Reply | BITENED STATILITORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS

	Brian P. Whipple	2448				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY Extraction of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IN Operation treptly as peopled above, the maximum statutory period we have a state of the provision of the pr	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 8(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4)∑ Claim(s) 1.5-7.9.21.22 and 25-28 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5)	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Ci				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No Id in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-945)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/698,813 Page 2

Art Unit: 2448

DETAILED ACTION

 Claims 1, 5-7, 9, 21-22, and 25-28 are pending in this application and presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5-7, 9, 21-22, and 25-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

3. As to claims 1 and 5-6, claim 1 refers to "e-mail," but claims 5-6 refer to "email." For the sake of consistency, the claims should refer uniformly to either e-mail or email.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 5-7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,813 Page 3

Art Unit: 2448

6. As to claim 1, line 5, the phrase "from source device" is ambiguous as it is unclear if a

reference is being made back to the phrase "a source device" in line 3 of the claim. If this is

so, then reference should be made through the use of a phrase such as "from the source

device" or "from said source device."

7. As to claims 5-7 and 9, the claims are rejected due to their dependency on, and

therefore inclusion of, the rejected subject matter of claim 1 above.

8. The following is a quotation of the fourth paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Subject to the following paragraph, a claim in dependent form shall contain a reference to a claim previously set forth and then specify a further limitation of the subject matter claimed. A claim in dependent form shall be construed to incorporate by reference all the limitations of the claim to which it refers.

9. Claims 5 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 4th paragraph, as being of improper

dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of the claim upon which it

depends.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,813 Page 4

Art Unit: 2448

10. As to claim 5, the claim fails to further limit parent claim 1. The claim is directed to a

"process in which an authorization indicator is generated," but claim $1\ {\rm refers}$ to "generating

an authorization indicator."

11. As to claim 25, the claim is rejected for reasons similar to claim 5 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- Claims 1, 5-7, 9, 21-22, and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Garrigues, U.S. Publication No. 2003/0200267 A1.
- 14. As to claim 1, Garrigues discloses an unsolicited e-mail Internet protocol source address verification method (Abstract) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/698,813

Art Unit: 2448

sending from a source device a request for authorization to send an electronic mail message ([0062]), the request identifying an address of the source of the request ([0026], ln. 1-2; [0027], ln. 3-5; [0054], ln. 19-22);

receiving at an e-mail authorization system from source device the request for authorization to send the electronic mail message ([0063], ln. 1-4);

authorizing the request with the e-mail authorization system including generating an authorization indicator that indicates the source of said request for authorization ([0063], \ln 16-20; [0069]);

receiving at the source device from the e-mail authorization system the authorization indicator ([0063], ln. 16-20; [0069]);

adding by the source device the received authorization indicator to an electronic mail message ([0008]);

sending the electronic mail message with the added authorization indicator from the source device to a destination device ([0008], ln. 7-8).

15. As to claim 5, Garrigues discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 1, further comprising performing at the email authorization system an indication generator process in which an authorization indicator is generated ([0063], ln. 16-20; [0069]).

Art Unit: 2448

16. As to claim 6, Garrigues discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 5, wherein said authorization indicator is a unique bit string and further identifies the email authorization system (100281).

- As to claim 7, Garrigues discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 1, further comprising tracking said source address with the e-mail authorization system ([0030], ln. 11-14; [0055]).
- 18. As to claim 9, Garrigues disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 1, wherein generating the authorization indicator comprises:

extracting a request source address from said request ([0026], ln. 1-2; [0027], ln. 3-5; [0054], ln. 19-22); and

utilizing said request source address as a destination address in a header file of a return package including authorization indicator information ([0063], ln. 16-20; [0069]).

- 19. As to claim 21, the claim is rejected for reasons similar to claim 1 above.
- 20. As to claim 22, Garrigues discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 21, wherein the destination device is adapted to handle receipt of the electronic mail message

([0065], ln. 6-10; [0068], ln. 3-7), including verifying the source address included in the received electronic mail message against the authorization indicator ([0068] – [0069]).

- 21. As to claim 25, the claim is rejected for reasons similar to claim 5 above.
- 22. As to claim 26, the claim is rejected for reasons similar to claim 6 above.
- 23. As to claim 27, the claim is rejected for reasons similar to claim 7 above.
- 24. As to claim 28, the claim is rejected for reasons similar to claim 1 above.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See the Notice of References Cited (PTO-892).
- 26. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2448

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

27. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian P. Whipple whose telephone number is (571)270-1244. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30 AM to 5:00 PM EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Firmin Backer can be reached on 571-272-6703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,813

Art Unit: 2448

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian P. Whipple /B. P. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 2448 7/25/11

/FIRMIN BACKER/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2448